

Ranking Pool: FY 23 Statewide WILDLIFE TERRESTRIAL

Program: EQIP States: WA (Admin) Pool Status: Active

Template: EQIP General National Ranking Template - Amended October 2022 **Template Status:** Active

Last 11/20/202

Last Joyce Trevithick **Modified By:** Modified: 2

Land Uses and Modifiers

Land Use	Grazed	Wildlife	Irrigated	Hayed	Drained	Organic	Water Feature	Protected	Urban	Aquaculture
Crop		х								
Forest		х		N/A	N/A					
Range		х	N/A		N/A					
Pasture		х								
Farmstead		х		N/A	N/A					
Developed Land	N/A	х		N/A	N/A					
Water	N/A	х	N/A	N/A	N/A					
Associated Ag Land		х			N/A					

Resource Concern Categories

Categories				
Category	Min %	Default %	Max %	
Degraded plant condition	0	30	100	
Fire management	0	35	100	
Terrestrial habitat	0	35	100	

Degraded plant condition			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Plant productivity and health	0	50	100
Plant structure and composition	0	50	100

Fire management			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Wildfire hazard from biomass accumulation	100	100	100

12/07/2022 Page 1 of 6

Terrestrial habitat			
Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Terrestrial habitat for wildlife and invertebrates	100	100	100

Practices

Practice Code	Practice Type
311	Conservation Practices
314	Conservation Practices
315	Conservation Practices
324	Conservation Practices
327	Conservation Practices
328	Conservation Practices
340	Conservation Practices
342	Conservation Practices
379	Conservation Practices
380	Conservation Practices
381	Conservation Practices
383	Conservation Practices
384	Conservation Practices
386	Conservation Practices
390	Conservation Practices
391	Conservation Practices
394	Conservation Practices
395	Conservation Practices
420	Conservation Practices
422	Conservation Practices
432	Conservation Practices
453	Conservation Practices
460	Conservation Practices
	311 314 315 324 327 328 340 342 379 380 381 383 384 386 390 391 394 395 420 422 432 453

Practice Name	Practice Code	Practice Type
Access Control	472	Conservation Practices
Mulching	484	Conservation Practices
Tree/Shrub Site Preparation	490	Conservation Practices
Obstruction Removal	500	Conservation Practices
Forage Harvest Management	511	Conservation Practices
Pasture and Hay Planting	512	Conservation Practices
Livestock Pipeline	516	Conservation Practices
Prescribed Grazing	528	Conservation Practices
Range Planting	550	Conservation Practices
Drainage Water Management	554	Conservation Practices
Access Road	560	Conservation Practices
Recreation Area Improvement	562	Conservation Practices
Spring Development	574	Conservation Practices
Trails and Walkways	575	Conservation Practices
Livestock Shelter Structure	576	Conservation Practices
Stripcropping	585	Conservation Practices
Cross Wind Trap Strips	589	Conservation Practices
Nutrient Management	590	Conservation Practices
Pest Management Conservation System	595	Conservation Practices
Herbaceous Wind Barriers	603	Conservation Practices
Tree/Shrub Establishment	612	Conservation Practices
Watering Facility	614	Conservation Practices
Waste Recycling	633	Conservation Practices
Water Harvesting Catchment	636	Conservation Practices
Restoration of Rare or Declining Natural Communities	643	Conservation Practices
Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management	644	Conservation Practices
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management	645	Conservation Practices

12/07/2022 Page 3 of 6

Practice Name	Practice Code	Practice Type
Fractice Name	Practice Code	Practice Type
Shallow Water Development and Management	646	Conservation Practices
Early Successional Habitat Development-Mgt	647	Conservation Practices
Structures for Wildlife	649	Conservation Practices
Windbreak/Shelterbelt Renovation	650	Conservation Practices
Road/Trail/Landing Closure and Treatment	654	Conservation Practices
Forest Trails and Landings	655	Conservation Practices
Wetland Restoration	657	Conservation Practices
Wetland Creation	658	Conservation Practices
Wetland Enhancement	659	Conservation Practices
Tree-Shrub Pruning	660	Conservation Practices
Forest Stand Improvement	666	Conservation Practices
Individual Terrace	751	Interim Conservation Practices
Soil Carbon Amendment	808	Interim Conservation Practices

Ranking Weights

Factors	Algorithm	Allowable Min	Default	Allowable Max
Vulnerabilities	Default	10	10	40
Planned Practice Effects	Adjustment (D)	15	15	15
Resource Priorities	Default	20	50	60
Program Priorities	Default	5	15	15
Efficiencies	Default	10	10	10

Display Group: FY 23 Statewide WILDLIFE TERRESTRIAL (Active)

1 An asterisk will be displayed to show that it is a conditional section or conditional question.

Survey: Applicability Questions

Section: Location Location		
Question	Answer Choices	Points

12/07/2022 Page 4 of 6

Section: Location Location					
Question	Answer Choices	Points			
WA State	WA State				
	No				

Survey: Category Questions

Section: Category Question					
Question	Answer Choices	Points			
Application is for FY 23 Statewide WILDLIFE TERRESTRIAL All	YES				
Landuses? (if not, STOP this ranking and decline this pool)	NO				

Survey: Program Questions

Section: Program Questions			
Question	Answer Choices	Points	
On the CCC1200 application did the applicant select a livestock type; or after site visit did employee witness livestock at the participants property, AND that livestock type information has been entered into Protracts?	YES	40	
	NO	0	
On the CCC1200 application, did the applicant self-certify as either Beginning Farmer or Socially Disadvantaged participant, AND has been entered into Protracts applicant information?	YES	50	
	NO	0	
Application includes at least three different Climate Smart Agriculture and Forestry conservation practices from listed practices. (CPS311, CPS327, CPS328, CPS329, CPS332, CPS340, CPS342, CPS345, CPS366, CPS372, CPS374, CPS379, CPS380, CPS381, CPS386, CPS390, CPS391, CPS393, CPS412, CPS420, CPS422, CPS484, CPS512, CPS528, CPS550, CPS585, CPS590, CPS601, CPS603, CPS612, CPS632, CPS645, CPS650, CPS657, CPS666, CPS670, CPS672).	YES	20	
	NO	0	
Applicants practice schedule is four years or less (ending 12/31/2027 or earlier	YES	10	
	NO	0	
Application is located within Source Water Protection (SWP) area (see map), AND application includes at least three different SWP conservation practice from listed practices. (CPS313, CPS316, CPS317, CPS327, CPS328, CPS329, CPS330, CPS331, CPS332, CPS340, PCPS342, CPS345, CPS355, CPS359, CPS360, CPS366, CPS386, CPS390, CPS391, CPS393, CPS395, CPS410, CPS412, CPS436, CPS449, CPS472, CPS528, CPS554, CPS561, CPS575, CPS580, CPS590, CPS600, CPS601, CPS604, CPS605, CPS612, CPS629, CPS633, CPS634, CPS635, CPS638, CPS656).	YES	10	
	NO	0	
Application(s) Planned Land Unit is an existing ACEP-ALE, GRP, or FRPP easement	YES	10	
	NO	10	

12/07/2022 Page 5 of 6

		9	
Section: Program Questions			
Question	Answer Choices	Points	
Application has at least one management practice (CPS395, CPS643, CPS644, CPS645, CPS647), AND at least one wildlife conservation practice from listed practices (CPS314, CPS315, CPS327, CPS328,	YES	20	
CPS340, CPS342, CPS378, CPS380, CPS384, CPS390, CPS391, CPS394, CPS396, CPS420, CPS472, CPS516, CPS612, CPS614, CPS642, CPS649, CPS657, CPS659).	NO	0	

Survey: Resource Questions

Section: Resource Questions			
Question	Answer Choices	Points	
T&E (State and Federal) Will the application benefit listed species or designated critical habitat (DCH)?	Project located in occupied habitat or DCH 40 pts	40	
	Project located in suitable habitat within 1/2 mile of occupied habitat 15 pts	15	
	Project located within suitable habitat within 1 mile of occupied habitat 10 pts	10	
	Project located within suitable habitat 5 pts.	5	
	No	0	
Pollinators Habitat- Will the application increase the quantity or quality	YES	40	
of pollen and nectar for pollinators	NO	0	
Wildlife Corridors (Select all that Apply)	Pacific flyway - Waterfowl - Increased Habitat 1. Will the application increase the quality or quantity of suitable habitat, forage resources, and shelter/cover for migratory species?	40	
	Big game winter ranges Mule deer, big horn, elk, others? -Increased Habitat 1. Will the application increase the quality or quantity of suitable habitat, forage resources,	40	
	Waterfowl concentration areas - Targeted Approach 2. Will the application increase the quantity or quality of food and/or cover resources in identified concentration area or priority area? (PHS, USFWS, Arid Lands Initiative, etc.	40	
	None	0	

12/07/2022 Page 6 of 6